

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/796,266 | 03/09/2004 | Giuseppe Pescini | 163-537 2386 | |
| 47888 7590 01/18/2007 HEDMAN & COSTIGAN P.C. | | | EXAMINER | |
| 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS | CLEMENT, MICHELLE RENEE | | | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10036 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3641 | |
| | | | | |
| | | • | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/18/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/796,266 | PESCINI, GIUSEPPE | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Michalia (Shallay) Clamont | 3641 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Michelle (Shelley) Clement | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | on dependence dualess- | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of New period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | failing or Transmission dated | | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | , | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | 5). | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requestional Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| | N F | M () | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | aw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to | | | |